

## Patient Summary Working Group Meeting

### Meeting Summary

Meeting Chair: Allana Cameron			
<u>Date and Time</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Note Taker</u>	<u>Next Meeting Date</u>
February 13, 2024, 1:00pm – 2:00pm ET	Virtual	Sadrina Petit, Project Analyst, Digital Health Interoperability	February 27, 2024, 1:00pm – 2:00pm ET
<b>Meeting Agenda:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Welcome &amp; Announcements</li> <li>2. Status of Ballot Process and Next Steps</li> <li>3. Implementation Considerations</li> <li>4. Patient Summary Work in Progress</li> <li>5. Next Steps</li> </ol>			
Presenters			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allana Cameron, Product Manager, Patient Summary</li> <li>• Raman Dhanoa, FHIR Specialist</li> <li>• Sheridan Cook, Standards Lead</li> </ul>			
Invited Guests			
Public			

### 1. Welcome and Introductions

A. Cameron welcomed all participants to the working group meeting and introduced Raman Dhanoa, and Sheridan Cook. Meeting materials and recording of the session will be made available on the InfoCentral working group.

### 2. Content Presentation

The Infoway team presented each of the agenda items as outlined above. The meeting focused on discussing the status of the ballot process and implementation considerations.

The presentation deck is available [Patient Summary Working Group Meeting](#)

The video recording is available [Patient Summary Working Group Meeting](#)

### 3. Questions raised during the working group meeting:

*How is the 'Attester' element in patient summaries being addressed given jurisdictional privacy differences?*

Removing the 'Must Support' flag from the 'Attester' element is proposed to simplify implementation across jurisdictions. This approach aims to harmonize handling while considering further discussions for a consensus.

***How should additional data in patient summaries be managed considering privacy laws?***

The challenge lies in sharing only the minimum necessary information. Exploring harmonized approaches for managing exceptions and additional data is suggested to accommodate varying jurisdictional regulations.

***What impact does removing the 'Must Support' flag have on implementations?***

Removing the flag could simplify implementations. However, considering alternatives for jurisdictions with specific restrictions is also recommended to ensure a balanced approach.

***What does the interoperability guide say about handling extra data in patient summaries?***

The pan-Canadian Patient Summary (PS-CA) does not provide explicit instructions for handling data beyond the defined profiles. The general guideline is to avoid prohibiting elements to minimize downstream complications in data exchange.

***Is there a clinical discussion on what constitutes minimal necessary data?***

There's interest in incorporating clinical perspectives to understand the rationale behind specific components of patient summaries. Some provinces have already defined minimal required components based on clinical discussions.

***Are provinces discussing minimal necessary data with clinicians?***

Some provinces, like BC, are in the early stages of discussing minimal necessary data with clinicians, focusing initially on broad components like allergies. Further detailed discussions are planned.

**Action Items:**

Action Item #	Action Item	Responsible	Due Date	Status
1	Submit a proposal in the current ballot to remove the 'must support' flag from the 'Attester' element	Infoway	February 13, 2024	Complete
2	Submit ballot submission feedback for PS-CA and CA: FeX by February 14th	Working group members	February 14, 2024	Complete

Action Item #	Action Item	Responsible	Due Date	Status
3	Attend the next working group meeting for <a href="#">February 27, 2024, from 1-2pm ET</a>	Working group members	February 27, 2024	In Progress