

# 2018.08.21 eReferral WG

Tuesday, August 21, 2018 10:58 AM

## Attendees:

1. John Wills
2. Yaron Derman
3. Inderpal Singh (Strata)
4. Tim Berezny
5. Smita Kachroo
6. Dustin Doan

## Discussion Items:

### Ontario-specific Simplifier eReferral iGuide

- Preference would be to link out to the Canadian eReferral for the Resource profiles
- Smita has begun developing the eReferral - Ontario. Currently 'hidden' on Simplifier will be developed
- The profiles and operations pages should be removed from the Ontario iGuide and moved to the Canadian iGuide because they aren't Ontario-specific. Tim's OK with Smita changing the table of contents of the Canadian iGuide so that it mimics the layout for these pages in the
- Fine to remove the snapshot tables within the Basecamp Resource pages
- Try out using a separate column for terminology on one Resource to see if it can be seen on screen. Otherwise, include a hyperlink within the Comments column for the CodeableConcepts to lead to the value set bindings
- **DECISION:** Include any Ontario-specific constraints/considerations on the Basecamp resource page - this will help with identifying if/when there are any diversions between provincial implementations and whether these are even necessary.

### Terminology value sets:

- Begun work on developing the value sets for Ontario-specific
- Is there a need to constrain the super-set to a limited set related to a specific use case(s)? Comment is that there is no more effort to include all of them by implementer once a single value is necessary.

### Ontario HIAL

- Discussion around how to route things to the HIAL.
- Sender selects the destination service and specifies in the MessageHeader resource
- POST to the HIAL
- HIAL will inspect the MessageHeader resource
- For Ontario, the initial ServiceRequest service & (vendor) endpoint values will be validated as a 'pair' before sending on to make sure it isn't sent to the wrong 'address'. The subsequent 'conversation' will not be validated
- The service will be specified within the payload and the vendor system will use this for internal routing to the relevant service
- Sender is saying 'send all content to this address' and the Receiver is trusting that it is correct when responding to the initial ServiceRequest and for subsequent dialogue (e.g. updates)

### Communication Resource

- Mockup of Communication resource, which is used for any further back and forth (besides for setting an appointment)
- Communication.basedOn and communication.about seem to be used for the same thing - do we need both? 'about' is not needed for attachments (specifying one) because these are able to be referenced in the payload.contentAttachment
- **DECISION:** Even if a communication is responding to another communication (which in turn may have been started due to a ServiceRequest), always use basedOn rather than inResponseTo
- **DECISION:** Will make basedOn to be a mandatory 1...\*
- Sender and recipient fields: will always know the sender but not necessarily the recipient
  - o To be further discussed
  - o Re: Sender - are there any specific sender = resource types that everyone should use (e.g. PractitionerRole?) - TBD

