

# 2019.01.22 eReferral WG

Tuesday, January 22, 2019

11:32 AM

## Attendees:

1. Tim Berezny
2. Caryn Harris
3. John Wills
4. Dustin Doan
5. Fariba Behzadi
6. Shamhad Abdi

## Notes

### Documentation

Historically the specification has been housed in Simplifier in the Canadian FHIR Registry page. However, the specification is now housed in the Ontario Registry locum. (URL for specification: [Simplifier.net/guide/erefferalontario/home](http://Simplifier.net/guide/erefferalontario/home)). Going forward, documentation for this specification will be in the Ontario site instead of the Canadian FHIR registry.

### Stylesheet Headings Discussion Points

The stylesheet utilized for this specification is adapted from a normalized stylesheet template implemented for eHealth Ontario's Simplifier specifications.

- Re: the **Implementation Guidance** tab - will include information about what responsibilities users putting through a referral have. How to establish trust in a sender's identity from another system? Establishing trust in the sending system's process for authenticating users will be important.
- Re: the **Profiles & Operations** tab – where the meat of the spec is. List all MSH events (e.g. add service request, add appointment, send communication, etc.) Each of these events will likely need a page developed in this tab.

### Terminology Status Update

- Fariba has already uploaded terminology to specification based on a meeting months ago where domains/value sets were decided upon.
- There is now a need to review the value sets to ensure that they represent everything we need to capture and to customize value sets as needed.
- John notes that there is a need to flesh out value sets for coded concepts like referral status, business status, and appointment status. This can be done once these coded domains are identified within the profiles. Fariba is point person related to Terminology for the specification.

### Canadian vs. Ontario Context

- Caryn noted that although Ontario will be the consumers of this spec. Is it better if we have a broader audience in mind?
- Tim clarified that we are keeping national scope in mind, however eHealth Ontario is facilitating this initial initiative. Noting also that many Ontario-specific artifacts have been excluded E.g. the

HIAL is no longer specified, ONE ID is not mandatory. There will be attempts to ensure Ontario-specific resources won't be included and if there are it will be made explicit.

- Fariba also to update descriptions in Terminology tab to reflect Ontario-agnostic wording.
- We will try to include in the preamble of the specification that the national scope is being kept in mind

#### Methodology for Updating/Making Changes To The Specification

- Everyone just go in and make changes. Also will open SLACK group to foster communication.

#### To Do Items

- We need to incorporate identifier and value set policies into the iGuide - eHealth Ontario will be taking lead on this aspect.
- The initial testing process for the referral project is scheduled to start in next week or two.
  - John and Tim will follow up at tomorrow's meeting with the larger eReferral group to discuss best platform for expert review once the specification is *done* (*done* meaning ready for expert review not to be published and consumed yet)
- Facilitation of provincial external review to be explored by eHealth Ontario.
- eHealth Ontario to follow up with to see if a PM-like role can be delegated to someone who can quarterback changes, roles, responsibilities, etc.
  - John has volunteered to put together a tree-like structure model to identify contents and then assign people to pieces and determine how much is complete? This artifact then can be potentially leveraged by a future PM.

#### **Next Meeting**

- Likely to be cancelled as Tim will be unable to attend. Will reconvene together in a month's time.