

Building Blocks Working Group Meeting Summary

Meeting Summary

<u>Date and Time</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Note Taker</u>	<u>Next Meeting Date</u>
Thursday, August 15, 2024, 1:00pm-2:00PM ET	Virtual	Sadrina Petit, Project Analyst, Digital Health Interoperability	Thursday, August 22, 2024, 1:00pm-2:00PM ET
Meeting Agenda: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Queries / Capability Statements 2. Terminology Sets 3. CA:CSD IGuide 			
Presenters <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dean Matthews – Service Directory, Product Owner • Irfan Hakim - Functional & Industry Consultant Data & AI 			
Invited Guests <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public 			

1. Welcome and Introductions

D. Matthews welcomed all participants to the working group meeting. Meeting materials and recording of the session will be made available on the InfoCentral working group.

2. Content Presentation

The Infoway Team presented each of the agenda items as outlined above. In the meeting, we reviewed queries, capability statements, and CA:CSD IGuide.

- The summary and presentation are available [here](#)
- The video recording is [here](#)
- The transcripts are [here](#)

3. Questions raised during the working group meeting

Why would one search by endpoint in the service directory? What is the use case for this functionality?

Searching by endpoint might not be a common use case, but it can be useful in scenarios where you need to check the status of an endpoint, such as verifying if it is active or about to expire. This

functionality is included as an optional feature in the guide to allow flexibility for future use, even if it is not immediately necessary for most implementations.

Is the search by endpoint functionality included in the guide as a placeholder for future use?

Yes, the search by endpoint functionality is included as a placeholder to future-proof the service directory guide. While it may not be widely used in the initial implementations, it provides jurisdictions and vendors the flexibility to incorporate this feature if it becomes relevant to their needs in the future.

Should specific use cases, like those related to E-referral, be documented in the service directory guide, or should they be included in related guides?

Specific use cases, such as those for E-referral, should be documented in the guides related to those specific applications (e.g., the E-referral guide). The service directory guide is intended to be a broad, foundational document that supports a wide range of implementations, allowing the relevant use case details to be captured in more targeted guides.

How should the Healthcare Service Directory Guide and the E-Referral Guide be connected to ensure consistency in use cases?

It is recommended that the Healthcare Service Directory Guide includes references to the E-Referral Guide where relevant use cases overlap. This can be achieved by including sections in each guide that reference the other, ensuring that users can easily find related information. For example, the Healthcare Service Directory Guide might include a paragraph under "Business Context" that mentions E-Referral use cases and provides a link to the Canadian E-Referral Specification for further details.

What is the main goal of the Canadian Healthcare Service Directory Implementation Guide (IG) compared to the general MCSD (Master Care Service Directory) guide?

The Canadian Healthcare Service Directory IG aims to build upon the general MCSD guide by incorporating elements specific to the Canadian context, such as security considerations or jurisdictional requirements. While it follows the overall structure and principles of the MCSD guide, it provides additional guidance tailored to the needs of Canadian jurisdictions, ensuring that the directory is relevant and applicable within Canada's healthcare system.

Where should guidance on resource usage, such as the prioritization of where to place contact information, be included in the guide?

Guidance on the usage and prioritization of resources, such as where to place contact information (e.g., phone numbers), should be included in the "Guidance" section of the Healthcare Service Directory Guide. This section can outline the order of priority and provide specific instructions on where information should be placed depending on the structure of the directory. Additionally, it may be useful to link this guidance directly from the notes on relevant elements, such as phone number fields, to ensure users can easily find and apply the guidance.

Should the profile elements, like phone numbers, be directly linked to specific guidance in the guide?

Yes, it is highly beneficial for implementers to have profile elements, such as phone numbers, linked directly to the relevant guidance in the guide. This approach helps ensure that users can easily find the necessary instructions and apply them consistently.

What considerations should be taken when linking guidance within the profile elements?

When linking guidance within the profile elements, it is important to link only to the most current version of the guide. This ensures that the links remain valid even if new versions of the guide are created, and it avoids issues with outdated references.

How can feedback on the guide be provided and managed?

Feedback on the guide can be provided through a JIRA project specifically set up for this purpose. Users who already have a JIRA ID can be added to the project, while new users can follow a provided link to get started. This system is consistent with processes used in other projects, such as E-Consult and E-Referral, and allows for continuous updates and improvements to the guide based on user feedback

4. Links shared during the meeting

<https://simplifier.net/guide/CA-CSD/Home>

<https://simplifier.net/guide/Pan-Canadian-Patient-Summary-v1.0-TI-FHIR-Implementation-Guide/Home/FHIRArtefacts/ObservationTobaccoUsePS-CA-duplicate-2.guide.md?version=current>

<https://simplifier.net/guide/ps-ca/Home/RelationshiptoOtherSpecifications/IPS?version=1.1.0-DFT>