

# Agenda and Notes



## Sex and Gender Working Group

August 26, 2025

Via Teleconference

### August 26, 2025

Item	Purpose	Allocated Time	Presenter
1	<b>Welcome and Acknowledgement</b>	2 minutes	Kelly
2	<b>Ground rules for respectful dialogue</b>	1 minutes	Kelly
3	<b>Purpose of Infoway Sex and Gender WG</b>	2 minutes	Kelly
4	<b>pan-Canadian GSSO Standard and Guidance &amp; Reminder for Open Review</b>	5 minutes	Shannon O'Connor
	<b>Discussion</b>	5 minutes	
5	<b>HL7 International Anatomical Inventories &amp; Person Characteristics Project Update</b>	10 minutes	Kelly Davison
	<b>Discussion</b>	5 minutes	
6	<b>BC GSSO HIS Standard and Guide Release 3.3</b>	20 minutes	Charlene Lam
	<b>Discussion</b>	5 minutes	
7	<b>Schedule Review &amp; Adjournment</b>	5 minutes	Kelly



# Agenda and Notes



## **1. Welcome and Land Acknowledgement and Ground Rules**

Completed. See slide deck for details.

## **2. Ground rules for respectful dialogue**

Completed. See slide deck for details.

## **3. Purpose of Infoway Sex and Gender Working Group**

Completed. See slide deck for details.

## **4. pan-Canadian GSSO Standard and Guidance & Reminder for Open Review**

Erica and Shannon provided an update. Documents for open review will be available on September 24<sup>th</sup>. A reminder that there will be no SGWG will be posted along with direction to access the standards-for-review.

A member provided an important consideration where business rules maybe 'firing' email reminders for cervical screenings to people who don't have cervixes based on an inaccurate marker causing harm.

## **5. HL7 International Anatomical Inventories & Person Characteristics Project Update**

Kelly provided a brief update on the current work of the group, meeting cadence, and dashboard. Kelly also provided information about the development arc of the AI&PC model and how it relates to the GHP.

## **6. BC GSSO HIS Standard and Guide Release 3.3**

Charlene and Kelly provided an update on the latest release and included a discussion on negative experiences.

A question was raised by a member about Accreditation Canada's current conflation of sex and gender in their standard(s). Member will email Shannon. Some advocacy and support will likely be required and we should look into opportunities for partnerships.

## **7. Schedule Review & Adjournment**

Completed. See slide deck for details.

